New Perspectives in Geometric Combinatorics
MSRI Publications
Volume **38**, 1999

Littlewood–Richardson Semigroups

ANDREI ZELEVINSKY

Abstract. We discuss the problem of finding an explicit description of the semigroup LR_r of triples of partitions of length at most r such that the corresponding Littlewood–Richardson coefficient is non-zero. After discussing the history of the problem and previously known results, we suggest a new approach based on the "polyhedral" combinatorial expressions for the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients.

This article is based on my talk at the workshop on Representation Theory and Symmetric Functions, MSRI, April 14, 1997. I thank the organizers (Sergey Fomin, Curtis Greene, Phil Hanlon and Sheila Sundaram) for bringing together a group of outstanding combinatorialists and for giving me a chance to bring to their attention some of the problems that I find very exciting and beautiful.

In preparing the note for this volume (October 1998), I made a few small changes in the original version [Zelevinsky 1997], and added in the end a brief (and undoubtedly incomplete) account of some exciting progress achieved since April 1997. I am grateful to the referee for helpful suggestions.

For $r \geq 1$, let

$$P_r = \{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r : \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r \geq 0\}$$

be the semigroup of partitions of length at most r. Our main object of study will be the set

$$\mathrm{LR}_r = \{(\lambda, \mu, \nu) : \lambda, \mu, \nu \in P_r,\ c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} > 0\}\,,$$

where $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ is the Littlewood–Richardson coefficient. Recall that P_r is the set of highest weights of polynomial irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$; if V_λ is the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ with highest weight λ then $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ is the multiplicity of V_λ in $V_\mu \otimes V_\nu$. Equivalently, the $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ are the structure constants of the algebra of symmetric polynomials in r variables with respect to the basis of Schur polynomials. We call LR_r the *Littlewood–Richardson semigroup* of order r; this name is justified by the following result:

This work is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9625511; research at MSRI is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9022140.

Theorem 1. LR_r *is a finitely generated subsemigroup of the additive semigroup* $P_r^3 \subset \mathbb{Z}^{3r}$.

This is a special case of a much more general result well known to the experts in invariant theory. A short proof (valid for any reductive group instead of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$) can be found in [Èlashvili 1992]; A. Elashvili attributes this proof to M. Brion and F. Knop. The semigroup property also follows at once from "polyhedral" expressions for $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ that will be discussed later (see Theorem 5 and below).

Problem A. Describe LR_r explicitly.

I have been interested in this problem for several years. For example, in [Berenstein and Zelevinsky 1992] we determined the set $\{\lambda : (\lambda, \delta, \delta) \in \mathrm{LR}_r\}$, where $\delta = (r-1, \ldots, 1, 0)$; this proves a special case of Kostant's conjecture that describes, for any semisimple Lie algebra, the irreducible components of the tensor square of the irreducible representation whose highest weight is the half-sum of positive roots. Practically nothing is known about the list of indecomposable generators of LR_r for general r. We will discuss the "dual" approach, namely we would like to describe the facets of the polyhedral convex cone $\mathrm{LR}_r^{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3r}$ generated by LR_r. Remarkable progress in this direction was recently made by A. Klyachko [1996]. Before discussing his results, we note that $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ is given by the classical Littlewood–Richardson rule (see [Macdonald 1995], for example), which in principle makes Problem A purely combinatorial. In particular, the Littlewood–Richardson rule (or just the definition) readily implies the following properties of LR_r.

Homogeneity. $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$ for $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathrm{LR}_r$, where $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r$.

Stability. $\mathrm{LR}_{r+1} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{3r} = \mathrm{LR}_r$, where

$$\mathbb{Z}^{3r} = \{(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3(r+1)} : \lambda_{r+1} = \mu_{r+1} = \nu_{r+1} = 0\}.$$

Even stronger, we have $\mathrm{LR}_{r+1} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{3r+2} = \mathrm{LR}_r$, where

$$\mathbb{Z}^{3r+2} = \{(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3(r+1)} : \lambda_{r+1} = 0\}.$$

Littlewood–Richardson semigroups appear naturally in several other contexts:

1. Hall algebra, extensions of abelian p-groups: see [Macdonald 1995].
2. Schubert calculus on Grassmannians: see [Fulton 1997].
3. Polynomial matrices and their invariant factors: see [Thompson 1989].
4. Eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices.

We discuss the last item in more detail. For a Hermitian matrix A of order r, let $\lambda(A)$ denote the sequence of eigenvalues of A arranged in a weakly decreasing order (recall that A is Hermitian if $A^* = A$, and such a matrix always has real eigenvalues). Let HE_r denote the set of triples $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^{3r}$ such that $\lambda = \lambda(A+B), \mu = \lambda(A)$, and $\nu = \lambda(B)$ for some Hermitian matrices A and B of order r. The following counterpart of Theorem 1 for HE_r is highly non-trivial.

Theorem 2. HE_r *is a polyhedral convex cone in* $\mathbb{R}^{3r}$.

This theorem was announced by several authors (see below) but apparently the first complete proof was given by A. Klyachko [1996].

Problem B. Describe HE_r explicitly.

Problems A and B are closely related to each other. They have a long history. Problem B was probably first posed by I. M. Gelfand in the late 40's (eigenvalues of the sum of two Hermitian matrices were studied already by H. Weyl in 1912, but I believe that I. M. Gelfand was the first who suggested studying the cone HE_r as a whole rather than concentrate on individual eigenvalues). A solution was announced by V. B. Lidskii [1950], but the details of the proof were never published. F. A. Berezin and I. M. Gelfand [1956] discussed the relationships between Problems A and B; in particular, they suggested the remarkable equality

$$\mathrm{HE}_r \cap \mathbb{Z}^{3r}_{\geq 0} = \mathrm{LR}_r, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ stands for the set of nonnegative integers. A. Horn [1962] solved Problem B for $r \leq 4$ and conjectured a general answer. To formulate his conjecture we need some terminology. Let $[1, r]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$. For a subset $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s\} \subset [1, r]$, we denote by $\rho(I) \subset P_s$ the partition

$$\rho(I) = (i_s - s,\ \ldots,\ i_2 - 2,\ i_1 - 1).$$

A triple (I, J, K) of subsets of $[1, r]$ will be called *HE-consistent* if I, J, K have the same cardinality s and $(\rho(I), \rho(J), \rho(K)) \in \mathrm{HE}_s$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and $I \subset [1, r]$, we will write $|\lambda|_I = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i$; in particular, $|\lambda|_{[1,r]} = |\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r$.

Horn's Conjecture. Let λ, μ, and ν be vectors in $\mathbb{R}^r$ with weakly decreasing components. Then $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathrm{HE}_r$ if and only if $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$ and $|\lambda|_I \leq |\mu|_J + |\nu|_K$ for all HE-consistent triples (I, J, K) of subsets of $[1, r]$.

The proofs of Horn's Conjecture and equality (1) were announced by B. V. Lidskii [1982]; unfortunately, as in the case of the paper by V. B. Lidskii [1950] mentioned earlier, the detailed proofs never appeared.

We now discuss the results in [Klyachko 1996]. First the author proves Theorem 2; moreover, he gives the following description of a set of defining linear inequalities for HE_r, which is very close (but not totally equivalent) to Horn's Conjecture. Modifying the definition of HE-consistent triples, we will call a triple (I, J, K) of subsets of $[1, r]$ *LR-consistent* if I, J, K have the same cardinality s and $(\rho(I), \rho(J), \rho(K)) \in \mathrm{LR}_s$.

Theorem 3 [Klyachko 1996]**.** *Horn's conjecture becomes true if HE-consistency in the formulation is replaced by LR-consistency.*

The fact that any $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathrm{HE}_r$ satisfies the inequalities $|\lambda|_I \leq |\mu|_J + |\nu|_K$ for all LR-consistent triples (I, J, K) was proved independently in [Helmke and

Rosenthal 1995]. A. Klyachko proves that these inequalities are necessary and sufficient. In fact, he makes a stronger statement which was reproduced in [Zelevinsky 1997]: he claims that all these inequalities are independent, i.e., they correspond to facets of the polyhedral convex cone HE_r. It was recently discovered by C. Woodward and P. Belkale that the last statement is false! As reported in [Fulton 1999], P. Belkale has recently shown that all the inequalities for which $c^{\rho(I)}_{\rho(J),\rho(K)} > 1$ are redundant.

A. Klyachko also proves the following weaker version of (1). Let $\mathrm{LR}_r^{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the set of all linear combinations of triples in LR_r with positive rational coefficients; equivalently, $\mathrm{LR}_r^{\mathbb{Q}} = \cup_{N\geq 1} \frac{1}{N}\,\mathrm{LR}_r$.

Theorem 4 [Klyachko 1996]**.** $\mathrm{HE}_r \cap \mathbb{Q}^{3r}_{\geq 0} = \mathrm{LR}_r^{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Theorems 3 and 4 appear in [Klyachko 1996] as a by-product of the study of stability criteria for toric vector bundles on the projective plane P^2. In view of these theorems, the equality (1) and Horn's Conjecture would follow from the affirmative answer to the following problem:

Saturation Problem. Is it true that $\mathrm{LR}_r^{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{3r}_{\geq 0} = \mathrm{LR}_r$?

In other words, does the fact that $c^{N\lambda}_{N\mu,N\nu} > 0$ for some $N \geq 1$ imply that $c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} > 0$? This is true and easy to check for $r \leq 4$. On the other hand, an obvious analogue of the problem for type B has negative answer (as pointed out to me by M. Brion, counterexamples can be found in [Èlashvili 1992]).

Examples. We list here the linear inequalities corresponding to LR-consistent triples for $r \leq 3$; combined with the conditions $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r$, $\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_r$, $\nu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \nu_r$, and $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$, they provide a description of the cone HE_r.

- $r = 1$: No inequalities.
- $r = 2$: $\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1+\nu_1$, $\lambda_2 \leq \min(\mu_1+\nu_2,\, \mu_2+\nu_1)$.
- $r = 3$:
$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &\leq \mu_1+\nu_1,\\
\lambda_2 &\leq \min(\mu_1+\nu_2, \mu_2+\nu_1),\\
\lambda_3 &\leq \min(\mu_1+\nu_3,\, \mu_2+\nu_2,\, \mu_3+\nu_1),\\
\lambda_1+\lambda_2 &\leq \mu_1+\mu_2+\nu_1+\nu_2,\\
\lambda_1+\lambda_3 &\leq \min(\mu_1+\mu_2+\nu_1+\nu_3,\, \mu_1+\mu_3+\nu_1+\nu_2),\\
\lambda_2+\lambda_3 &\leq \min(\mu_1+\mu_2+\nu_2+\nu_3,\, \mu_1+\mu_3+\nu_1+\nu_3,\, \mu_2+\mu_3+\nu_1+\nu_2).
\end{aligned}$$

For instance, the inequality $\lambda_2+\lambda_3 \leq \mu_1+\mu_3+\nu_1+\nu_3$ corresponds to the triple $(I,J,K) = (\{2,3\},\{1,3\},\{1,3\})$, which is LR-consistent because the triple of partitions $(\rho(I),\rho(J),\rho(K)) = ((1,1),(1,0),(1,0))$ obviously belongs to LR_2.

Assuming the affirmative answer in the Saturation Problem, Theorem 3 provides a recursive procedure for describing the semigroup LR_r. Although quite

elegant, this procedure is not very explicit from combinatorial point of view. Thus, we would like to formulate the following problem:

Problem C. Find a non-recursive description of LR_r.

Equivalently, Problem C asks for a non-recursive description of LR-consistent triples. We would like to suggest an elementary combinatorial approach to this problem based on the "polyhedral" expressions for the coefficients $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ given in [Berenstein and Zelevinsky 1992]. To present such an expression, it will be convenient to modify Littlewood–Richardson coefficients as follows. We will consider triples $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu})$ of dominant integral weights for the group SL_r. Let $V_{\bar{\lambda}}$ be the irreducible SL_r-module with highest weight $\bar{\lambda}$, and let $c_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$ denote the dimension of the space of SL_r-invariants in the triple tensor product $V_{\bar{\lambda}} \otimes V_{\bar{\mu}} \otimes V_{\bar{\nu}}$. The relationship between the $c_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$ and the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients is as follows. We will write each of the weights $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ as a nonnegative integer linear combination of fundamental weights $\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_{r-1}$ (in the standard numeration):

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda} &= l_1\omega_1 + \cdots + l_{r-1}\omega_{r-1}, \\ \bar{\mu} &= m_1\omega_1 + \cdots + m_{r-1}\omega_{r-1}, \\ \bar{\nu} &= n_1\omega_1 + \cdots + n_{r-1}\omega_{r-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The definitions readily imply that if $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in P_r$ are such that $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$ then $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = c_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$, where the coordinates l_s, m_s and n_s in (2) are given by

$$l_s = \lambda_{r-s} - \lambda_{r-s+1}, \quad m_s = \mu_s - \mu_{s+1}, \quad n_s = \nu_s - \nu_{s+1}. \tag{3}$$

Thus, the knowledge of LR_r is equivalent to the knowledge of the semigroup

$$\overline{\mathrm{LR}}_r = \{(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3(r-1)} : c_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} > 0\}.$$

Passing from LR_r to $\overline{\mathrm{LR}}_r$ has two important advantages. First, the coefficients $c_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$ are more symmetric than the original Littlewood–Richardson coefficients: they are invariant under the 12-element group generated by all permutations of three weights $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$, together with the transformation replacing each of these weights with its dual (i.e., sending (l_s, m_s, n_s) to $(l_{r-s}, m_{r-s}, n_{r-s})$). Second, the dimension of the ambient space reduces by 2, from $3r-1$ to $3(r-1)$. On the other hand, $\overline{\mathrm{LR}}_r$ has at least one potential disadvantage: the condition $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$ is replaced by a more complicated condition that $\sum_s s(l_s + m_s + n_s)$ is divisible by r (in more invariant terms, this means that $\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\mu} + \bar{\nu}$ must be a radical weight, i.e., belongs to the root lattice). To illustrate both phenomena, one can compare the description of LR_2 given above with the following description of $\overline{\mathrm{LR}}_2$ which is equivalent to the classical Clebsch–Gordan rule: $\overline{\mathrm{LR}}_2$ consists of triples of nonnegative integers (l_1, m_1, n_1) satisfying the triangle inequality and such that $l_1 + m_1 + n_1$ is even.

We now give a combinatorial expression for $c_{\bar\lambda\bar\mu\bar\nu}$ (this is one of several such expressions found in [Berenstein and Zelevinsky 1992]). Consider a triangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and subdivide it into small triangles by dividing each side into r equal parts and joining the points of the subdivison by the line segments parallel to the sides of our triangle. Let Y_r denote the set of all vertices of the small triangles, with the exception of the three vertices of the original triangle. Introducing barycentric coordinates, we identify Y_r with the set of integer triples (i,j,k) such that $0 \le i,j,k < r$ and $i+j+k = r$. Let $\mathbb{Z}^{Y_r}$ be the set of integer families (y_{ijk}) indexed by Y_r; we think of $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{Y_r}$ as an integer "matrix" with Y_r as the set of "matrix positions." To every $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{Y_r}$ we associate the partial line sums

$$\begin{aligned} l_{ts}(y) &= \sum_{j=t}^{s} y_{r-s,j,s-j}, \\ m_{ts}(y) &= \sum_{k=t}^{s} y_{s-k,r-s,k}, \\ n_{ts}(y) &= \sum_{i=t}^{s} y_{i,s-i,r-s}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $0 \le t \le s \le r$. We call these linear forms on $\mathbb{R}^{Y_r}$ *tails*, and we say that $y \in \mathbb{R}^{Y_r}$ is *tail-positive* if all tails of y are ≥ 0. We also say that a linear form on $\mathbb{R}^{Y_r}$ is *tail-positive* if it is a nonnegative linear combination of tails.

Theorem 5 [Berenstein and Zelevinsky 1992]**.** *For any triple $(\bar\lambda, \bar\mu, \bar\nu)$ as in (2), the coefficient $c_{\bar\lambda\bar\mu\bar\nu}$ is equal to the number of tail-positive $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{Y_r}$ with prescribed values of line sums*

$$l_{0s}(y) = l_s, \quad m_{0s}(y) = m_s, \quad n_{0s}(y) = n_s, \tag{5}$$

where $1 \le s \le r-1$.

In other words, let $T_r \subset \mathbb{Z}^{Y_r}$ denote the semigroup of tail-positive elements, and let $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}^{Y_r} \to \mathbb{Z}^{3(r-1)}$ be the projection given by (5). Then Theorem 5 says that

$$\sigma(T_r) = \overline{\mathrm{LR}}_r. \tag{6}$$

In particular, this implies at once that $\overline{\mathrm{LR}}_r$ (and hence LR_r) is a semigroup. Furthermore, Theorem 5 implies the following description of the convex cone $\overline{\mathrm{LR}}_r^{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by $\overline{\mathrm{LR}}_r$.

Corollary 6. *A linear form f on $\mathbb{R}^{3(r-1)}$ takes nonnegative values on $\overline{\mathrm{LR}}_r^{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if the form $f \circ \sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^{Y_r}$ is tail-positive.*

Returning to the Littlewood–Richardson semigroup LR_r, we have the projection $\partial : \mathrm{LR}_r \to \overline{\mathrm{LR}}_r$ given by (3). This projection extends by linearity to a projection $\partial : \mathbb{R}^{3r-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{3(r-1)}$, where $\mathbb{R}^{3r-1}$ is the subspace of triples $(\lambda,\mu,\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^{3r}$ satisfying $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$. It is clear that the cone $\mathrm{LR}_r^{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3r-1}$ is given by

the linear inequalities $f \circ \partial \geq 0$ for all linear forms f as in Corollary 6. This suggests the following strategy for determining the set of LR-consistent triples. Take a triple of subsets (I, J, K) in $[1, r]$ of the same cardinality s, consider the corresponding linear form $|\mu|_J + |\nu|_K - |\lambda|_I$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3r-1}$, write this form as $f \circ \partial$, and compute the form $f \circ \sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^{Y_r}$. A straightforward calculation gives

$$(f \circ \sigma)(y) = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in Y_r} \big(\#(I_{>i}) - \#(J_{>r-j}) - \#(K_{>r-k})\big)\, y_{ijk}, \tag{7}$$

where $\#(I_{>i})$ stands for the number of elements of I which are $> i$. Taking into account Theorem 3, we obtain the following new criterion for LR-consistency.

Theorem 7. *A triple of subsets (I, J, K) of the same cardinality s in $[1, r]$ is LR-consistent if and only if $|\rho(I)| = |\rho(J)| + |\rho(K)|$ and the form in (7) is tail-positive.*

In particular, since every tail-positive linear form is obviously a nonnegative linear combination of the y_{ijk}, we obtain the following necessary condition for LR-consistency.

Corollary 8. *If a triple of subsets (I, J, K) in $[1, r]$ is LR-consistent then*

$$\#(I_{>i}) \geq \#(J_{>r-j}) + \#(K_{>r-k}) \tag{8}$$

for all $(i, j, k) \in Y_r$.

It would be interesting to deduce this corollary directly from the Littlewood–Richardson rule. One can show that (8) is not sufficient for LR-consistency. In fact, Theorem 7 can be used to produce other necessary conditions for LR-consistency. One can hope to solve Problem C by generating a system of necessary and sufficient conditions for LR-consistency using this method.

Added in October 1998: Since April 1997, important progress has been achieved in the problems discussed above. Here is a very brief and incomplete discussion of some of these developments.

First, a nice self-contained exposition of Klyachko's results was given in the seminar talk [Fulton 1999]. One can also find there an account of some new developments in related areas, and an expanded list of references.

A beautiful affirmative solution to the Saturation Problem has been announced in [Knutson and Tao 1998]. The proof is entirely combinatorial, and it basically follows the "polyhedral" approach discussed above. The main new ingredient is a geometric reformulation of the Littlewood–Richardson rule in terms of certain planar configurations of line segments (the honeycomb model).

Several interesting analogues and generalizations of the polyhedral cones HE_r and LR_r were introduced and studied in [Brion 1998; Berenstein and Sjamaar 1998; Agnihotri and Woodward 1997]. It would be interesting to use a geometric approach developed in [Brion 1998] for a solution of Problem C above.

Let me conclude with the following remark. The Littlewood–Richardson coefficients and the corresponding semigroups LR_r have an obvious generalization for the tensor products of any given number (instead of just two) of polynomial irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$. Let $c^{\lambda}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}}$ denote the multiplicity of V_λ in $V_{\mu^{(1)}} \otimes V_{\mu^{(p)}}$, and define

$$\mathrm{LR}_r^{(p)} = \{(\lambda, \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)}) : \lambda, \mu^{(k)} \in P_r,\ c^{\lambda}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}} > 0\}\ .$$

It might look surprising but the study of "multiple LR-semigroups" $\mathrm{LR}_r^{(p)}$ can be reduced to that of the ordinary ones using the following fact:

Proposition 9. *We have*

$$c^{\lambda}_{\mu^{(1)},\dots,\mu^{(p)}} = c^{\tilde\lambda}_{\tilde\mu,\tilde\nu}\ , \tag{9}$$

where partitions $\tilde\lambda, \tilde\mu, \tilde\nu \in P_{pr}$ *are given by*

$$\tilde\nu_{(j-1)r+i} = \delta_{j1}\lambda_i, \quad \tilde\mu_{(j-1)r+i} = \sum_{k=j+1}^{p} \mu_1^{(k)}, \quad \tilde\lambda_{(j-1)r+i} = \mu_i^{(j)} + \sum_{k=j+1}^{p} \mu_1^{(k)} \tag{10}$$

for $1 \le j \le p$ *and* $1 \le i \le r$.

Notice that the partition $\tilde\nu$ in Proposition 9 has the same Young diagram as λ, and that $\tilde\lambda - \tilde\mu$ is a skew diagram whose connected components are translates of $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(p)}$. The equality (9) is then well known; see [Macdonald 1995]. Formulas (10) define a linear embedding $\varphi : P_r^{p+1} \to P_{pr}^3$ such that $\varphi(\mathrm{LR}_r^{(p)}) = \varphi(P_r^{p+1}) \cap \mathrm{LR}_{pr}$. It follows in particular that the saturation property for ordinary Littlewood–Richardson semigroups implies the saturation property for the semigroups $\mathrm{LR}_r^{(p)}$.

References

[Agnihotri and Woodward 1997] S. Agnihotri and C. Woodward, "Eigenvalues of products of unitary matrices and quantum Schubert calculus", preprint, 1997. Available at http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.AG/9712013.

[Berenstein and Sjamaar 1998] A. Berenstein and R. Sjamaar, "Projections of coadjoint orbits and the Hilbert–Mumford criterion", preprint, 1998. Available at http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.SG/9810125.

[Berenstein and Zelevinsky 1992] A. D. Berenstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, "Triple multiplicities for sl$(r+1)$ and the spectrum of the exterior algebra of the adjoint representation", *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1**:1 (1992), 7–22.

[Berezin and Gel'fand 1956] F. A. Berezin and I. M. Gel'fand, "Some remarks on the theory of spherical functions on symmetric Riemannian manifolds", *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* **5** (1956), 311–351. In Russian.

[Brion 1998] M. Brion, "On the general faces of the moment polytope", Technical Report 438, Institut Fourier, Grenoble, 1998.

[Èlashvili 1992] A. G. Èlashvili, "Invariant algebras", pp. 57–64 in *Lie groups, their discrete subgroups, and invariant theory*, edited by E. B. Vinberg, Advances in Soviet Math. **8**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[Fulton 1997] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts **35**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[Fulton 1999] W. Fulton, "Eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices (after A. Klyachko)", pp. 255–269 in *Séminaire Bourbaki* 1997/98 (exposés 835–849), Astérisque **252**, Soc. math. France, Paris, 1999.

[Helmke and Rosenthal 1995] U. Helmke and J. Rosenthal, "Eigenvalue inequalities and Schubert calculus", *Math. Nachr.* **171** (1995), 207–225.

[Horn 1962] A. Horn, "Eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices", *Pacific J. Math.* **12** (1962), 225–241.

[Klyachko 1996] A. A. Klyachko, "Stable bundles, representation theory and Hermitian operators", Report no. 1, 1996/97, Institut Mittag-Leffler, 1996. Earlier version, University of Marne-La-Vallée, 1994.

[Knutson and Tao 1998] A. Knutson and T. Tao, "The honeycomb model of the Berenstein-Zelevinsky polytope I. Klyachko's saturation conjecture", preprint, 1998. Available at http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.RT/9807160.

[Lidskii 1950] V. B. Lidskii, "On the characteristic numbers of the sum and product of symmetric matrices", *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR* (*N.S.*) **75** (1950), 769–772. In Russian.

[Lidskii 1982] B. V. Lidskii, "Spectral polyhedron of a sum of two Hermitian matrices", *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **16**:2 (1982), 76–77. In Russian; translation in *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **16** (1982), 139–140.

[Macdonald 1995] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1995.

[Thompson 1989] R. C. Thompson, "Divisibility relations satisfied by the invariant factors of a matrix product", pp. 471–491 in *The Gohberg anniversary collection* (Calgary, 1988), vol. 1, edited by H. Dym et al., Operator Theory, Advances and Applications **40**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989.

[Zelevinsky 1997] A. Zelevinsky, "Littlewood–Richardson semigroups", preprint 1997-044, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, 1997. Available at http://www.msri.org/publications/preprints/online/1997-044.html.

Andrei Zelevinsky
Department of Mathematics
Northeastern University
Boston, MA 02115
United States
andrei@neu.edu